

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/996,155	11/28/2001	Timothy A. Mertens	M534.12-0008	1881
32692 7590 11/05/2003			EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			MAYES, MELVIN C	
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1734	2
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	$\sim$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/996,155	MERTENS ET AL.			
Cine Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Melvin Curtis Mayes	1734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any  Status					
_					
- Loyer This action is non-infai.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-24 and 31-33</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-30</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	4) Interview Summary ( 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) Itent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/996,155

Art Unit: 1734

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Double Patenting

(1)

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

**(2)** 

Claims 20-24 and 31-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,352,751 in view of Darvell et al. 5,283,091.

U.S. Patent No. 6,352,751 claims an elongated, linerless sheet wound in roll form comprising sheeting formed from a transparent substrate having repositionable pressure sensitive adhesive partially disposed on the first side thereof and a repeating indicia pattern printed on one of the surfaces thereof, each repeating indicia pattern being sufficiently visible to define two indicators, a first indicator visible during processing to facilitate cutting apart discrete sheeting segments each bearing one of the repeated indicia patterns thereon, and a second indicator visible from the second side of each cut segment when that cut segment has its first side adhered to a surface in order to direct attention to a section of that surface.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,155 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

Darvell et al. teaches that tape flags are transparent sheets having a coating of repositionable pressure sensitive adhesive on a portion of one of its surfaces and having indicia printed on its surface.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to used the elongated, linerless sheeting of U.S. Patent No. 6,352,751 to apply a tape flag to a surface, as Darvell et al. teach that tape flags are transparent sheets having a coating of repositionable pressure sensitive adhesive on a portion of one of its surfaces and having indicia printed on its surface. Applying the tape flag to a surface by visibly detecting the first indicator would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as claimed by U.S. Patent No. 6,352,751, to facilitate cutting tape flags from the linerless sheeting, as U.S. Patent No. 6,352,751 claims that the elongated linerless sheet has a first indicator visible during processing to facilitate cutting apart discrete sheeting segments from the linerless sheet.

The use of a rotary knife for cutting the sheeting and cleaning the knife during rotation would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of cutting linerless pressure sensitive adhesive sheeting.

## Allowable Subject Matter

(3)

Claim 25-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 4

#### Conclusion

(4)

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

(5)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Curtis Mayes whose telephone number is 703-308-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00 AM - 3:30 PM.

After December 22nd, the Examiner can be reached at telephone number 571-272-1234 and rightfax number 571-273-1234.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 703-308-3853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Melvin Curas Mayes Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734

MCM